1	Barry C. Toone (Bar No. 018664) Jill S. Goldsmith (Bar No. 011500)		
2	Jill S. Goldsmith (Bar No. 011500) Iman R. Soliman (Bar No. 021333) BOWMAN AND BROOKE LLP		
3	Suite 1600, Phoenix Plaza 2901 North Central Avenue		
4	Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2761 (602) 643-2300 barry.toone@phx.bowmanandbrooke.com jill.goldsmith@phx.bowmanandbrooke.com		
5			
6	iman.soliman@phx.bowmanandbrooke.com		
7	Attorneys for Defendant Ford Motor Comp	pany	
8			
9	IN THE LINITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT	
10	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
11	Biothiot	OI ANIZONA	
12	Karen Elliott, an individual,	No. CV 2004 0902 PHX SRB	
13	Plaintiff,	AFFIDANT OF MAN D COLUMN	
14	v.	AFFIDAVIT OF IMAN R. SOLIMAN	
15	Ford Motor Company, a Delaware Corporation, TRW Automotive Holdings Corp., a Delaware Corporation; and Autoliv (Honorable Susan R. Bolton)		
16			
17	Inc., a foreign corporation,	<b>\</b>	
18	Defendants.		
19	STATE OF ARIZONA )		
20	SS. (COUNTY OF MARICOPA )		
21	COUNTY OF WARROOF A		
22	I, Iman R. Soliman, duly sworn upon oath, deposes and says:		
23	1. On or about March 2, 2006,	Ford's counsel called Dr. Rizzo's office and	
24	spoke with the office super	visor and Dr. Rizzo's wife, Karen Rizzo,	
25	regarding Dr. Rizzo's deposition	on fee.	
26	2. During the telephone call, Kar	en Rizzo indicated that she needed to talk to	
27	Dr. Rizzo to find out if he is wi	lling to lower his fee. After speaking with Dr.	
28	Rizzo, Karen Rizzo called F	ord's counsel and stated that Dr. Rizzo is	

1

2

3

4

- refusing to lower his fee and that if we filed a motion to reduce his fee that he will be agitated at the deposition and "you don't want to agitate him."
- 3. Because Karen Rizzo thought Ford's counsel was plaintiff's counsel who will be making money off of this lawsuit, she explained that Dr. Rizzo is justified to charge \$1,200.00 per hour. However, after Ford's counsel clarified that she in fact represents defendant and not plaintiff. Karen Rizzo agreed to charge \$750.00 an hour rather than \$1,200.00. After additional negotiations and at Ford's counsel's request that Dr. Rizzo charge \$500.00, an agreement was reached that Dr. Rizzo will charge \$600.00 per hour.
- 4. The deposition had to be cancelled because plaintiff's counsel had not provided Ford's counsel with the signed medical release to obtain Dr. Rizzo's file. Eventually Dr. Rizzo's deposition was rescheduled for September 8, 2006. However, Ford's counsel was informed that Dr. Rizzo will be charging his full fee of \$1,200.00 an hour.
- 5. Ford's counsel again spoke with Karen Rizzo regarding the earlier agreement in an attempt to lower Dr. Rizzo's fee to a reasonable amount. However, Ford's counsel was informed that Dr. Rizzo will not lower his

1	fee. As a result, Ford canceled Dr. Rizzo's deposition in order to file this	
2	motion.	
3	FURTHER AFFIANT SAITH NOT	
4	DATED this 3 <sup>rd</sup> day of October, 2006.	
5	Drives and or coloser, 2000.	
6		
7	Iman R Solimon	
8	Imañ R. Soliman	
9	STATE OF ARIZONA )	
10	)ss.	
11	COUNTY OF MARICOPA )	
12	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 3 <sup>rd</sup> day of October, 2006, by	
13	Iman R. Soliman.	
14	NOTARY PUBLIC	
15	STATE OF ARIZONA County of Maricopa MICHELE L. DOMINGUEZ COMMISSION & 188534  MICHELE L. DOMINGUEZ COMMISSION & 188534	
16	Commission # 186534  My Appl. Engines Sept. 19, 2007  Notary Public	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		